



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

**B. ANNA MCCOY
KOLISCH HARTWELL, P.C.
200 PACIFIC BUILDING
520 S.W. YAMHILL STREET
PORTLAND, OR 97204**

COPY MAILED

OCT 26 2005

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Engle, Timothy Scott :
Application No. 10/644,232 : DECISION GRANTING PETITION
Filed: August 19, 2003 : UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(b)
Attorney Docket No. IFC372 :
:

This is a decision on the petition, filed October 14, 2005, which is being treated as a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) to revive the instant non-provisional application for failure to timely notify the U.S. Patent and Trademark (USPTO) of the filing of an application in a foreign country, or under a multinational treaty that requires publication of applications eighteen months after filing. *See* 37 CFR 1.137(f).

The petition is **GRANTED**.

Petitioner states that the instant nonprovisional application is the subject of an application filed in an eighteen-month publication country on August 11, 2004. However, the USPTO was unintentionally not notified of this filing within 45 days subsequent to the filing of the subject application in an eighteen-month publication country.

In view of the above, this application became abandoned pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 122(b)(2)(B)(iii) and 37 CFR 1.213(c) for failure to timely notify the Office of the filing of an application in a foreign country or under a multilateral international agreement that requires publication of applications 18 months after filing.

A petition to revive an application abandoned pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 122(b)(2)(B)(iii) for failure to notify the USPTO of a foreign filing must be accompanied by:

- (1) the required reply which is met by the notification of such filing in a foreign country or under a multinational treaty;
- (2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m); and
- (3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date of the reply until the filing of a grantable petition was unintentional.

The instant petition has been found to be in compliance with 37 CFR 1.137(b). Accordingly, the failure to timely notify the USPTO of a foreign or international filing within 45 days after the date of filing of such foreign or international application as provided by 35 U.S.C. § 122(b)(2)(B)(iii) and 37 CFR 1.213(c) is accepted as having been unintentionally delayed.

The previous Request and Certification under 35 U.S.C. § 122(b)(2)(B)(i) has been rescinded. Due to a computer error, the Notice Regarding Rescission of Nonpublication Request mailed on October 18, 2005, set forth the projected publication date as WDNP. The Office will regenerate the Notice with a proper projected publication date once the problem as been fixed.

The file does not indicate a change of address has been submitted, although the address given on the petition differs from the address of record. If appropriate, a request to change the address of record should be filed. A courtesy copy of this decision is being mailed to the address given on the petition; however, the Office will mail all future correspondence solely to the address of record.

This matter is being referred to Technology Center 2800 for examination in due course.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3206.



Liana Chase
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

Cc: ALLEMAN HALL MCCOY RUSSELL & TUTTLE LLP
806 SW BROADWAY, SUITE 600
PORTLAND, OR 97205